

1 **JENNY L. FOLEY, Ph.D., ESQ.**  
2 Nevada Bar No. 9017  
3 E-mail: jfoley@hkm.com  
4 **HKM EMPLOYMENT ATTORNEYS LLP**  
5 101 Convention Center Dr., Suite 600  
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89109  
7 Tel: (702) 805-8340  
8 Fax: (702) 805-8340  
9 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

BONNIE LARKIN, an Individual,  
  
Plaintiff,

vs.

UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER OF  
SOUTHERN NEVADA, a political  
subdivision of Clark County, State of  
Nevada; DOES I - X; ROE  
CORPORATIONS I - X.  
  
Defendants.

**CASE NO.:**

**COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff BONNIE LARKIN (“**Ms. Larkin**” or “**Plaintiff**”) by and through her attorneys, Jenny L. Foley, Ph.D., Esq. of HKM Employment Attorneys LLP, hereby complains and alleges as follows:

**JURISDICTION**

1. This is an action for damages brought by Plaintiff for discrimination and retaliation under the Americans with Disabilities Act (“**ADA**”) of 1990, 42 U.S.C. § 12101 *et seq.*; for violation of Nevada Revised Statute § 613.330 *et seq.*; and for certain claims brought pursuant to the Nevada Revised Statutes as outlined below.

2. This Court has primary jurisdiction over claims set forth herein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question), 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a) (4) (civil rights action) and 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(3) (unlawful discrimination and retaliation in employment). Additionally, this Court

1 has supplemental jurisdiction over any state law claims pled herein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §  
2 1367.

3 3. All material allegations contained in this Complaint are believed to have  
4 occurred in Clark County, Nevada. Therefore, venue properly lies in the southern division of  
5 the United States Court for the District of Nevada pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

6 **EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY**

7 4. On or about February 8, 2022, Plaintiff initiated the process of filing a Charge  
8 of Discrimination against her employer, the Defendant named in this action, with the Equal  
9 Employment Opportunity Commission (“EEOC”) wherein she alleged discrimination based on  
10 disability and retaliation.

11 5. On or about September 29, 2022, Plaintiff received her Notice of Right to Sue  
12 from the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (“EEOC”).

13 6. This action is timely filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f).

14 7. Plaintiff has exhausted her administrative remedy on all claims pled hereunder  
15 prior to filing this action with this Court.

16 **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

17 8. Plaintiff incorporates all of the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as though  
18 fully set forth herein.

19 9. Plaintiff is a United States citizen and is a current resident of Clark County,  
20 Nevada, and has been at all times relevant herein.

21 10. Defendant UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA  
22 (“UMC” or “**Defendant**”) is a political subdivision of Clark County, State of Nevada, which  
23 provides hospital services in Clark County, Nevada.

24 11. Prior to her employment with UMC, Plaintiff was diagnosed with the following  
25 conditions that affects her life activities: a hearing disorder, Severe Generalized Anxiety  
26 Disorder, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (“COPD”), panic attacks complicated by a  
27 history of heat stroke, as well as Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (“PTSD”).  
28

1           12.     These disabilities substantially limit one or more of Plaintiff's life activities.

2           13.     In or around August 2018, UMC hired Plaintiff as a Certified Surgical  
3     Technologist.

4           14.     Sometime prior to May of 2021, Plaintiff received a reasonable accommodation  
5     regarding her hearing issue.

6           15.     On or about May of 2021, Plaintiff requested further accommodation from  
7     Defendant for her Severe Generalized Anxiety Disorder, COPD, panic attacks complicated by  
8     history of heat stroke, and PTSD.

9           16.     Upon information and belief, Plaintiff's physician recommended that she have  
10    limited exposure to or avoidance of exposure to confined, heated spaces, as they are triggers for  
11    her panic attacks.

12          17.     Plaintiff made this request as she had been assigned to Dr. Syed Saquib's ("**Dr.**  
13    **Saquib**") operating room.

14          18.     Upon information and belief, Dr. Saquib, keeps his operation room at  
15    approximately one hundred (100) degrees Fahrenheit as he treats burn patients.

16          19.     Repeated exposure to Dr. Saquib's operating room would adversely affect  
17    Plaintiff's physical condition as the confined, heated space is a trigger for her physical  
18    disabilities.

19          20.     Plaintiff's accommodation request would limit her assignment to Dr. Saquib's  
20    operating room to avoid triggering her disabilities.

21          21.     Defendant, however, denied Plaintiff's accommodation request.

22          22.     Upon information and belief, Defendant denied Plaintiff's accommodation  
23    request because Plaintiff's doctor allegedly submitted documentation indicating that Plaintiff  
24    are unable to work on "Burn" cases.

25          23.     This is incorrect as the requested accommodation request did not refer to  
26    Plaintiff's ability to work on "Burn" cases.

27          24.     Similarly, upon information and belief, Anna Caputo ("**Ms. Caputo**"), Equal  
28

1 Opportunity Manager for Human Resources at UMC, determined that an “essential function”  
2 of a Certified Surgical Technologist is that the Certified Surgical Technologist be able to work  
3 “*whichever case the department needs [the Certified Surgical Technologist] to work.*”

4 25. The essential function and job duties of a Certified Surgical Technologist do not  
5 include working whatever cases UMC needs her to work without regard to Federal Laws  
6 requiring reasonable accommodation.

7 26. It was unreasonable for UMC to reject Plaintiff’s accommodation request to  
8 limit her exposure to or avoidance of exposure to confined, heated spaces, as they are triggers  
9 for physical disabilities.

10 27. After Plaintiff’s reasonable accommodation request was denied by Ms. Caputo,  
11 Plaintiff was told that she was a candidate for medical separation from her position.

12 28. During a phone conversation on or about July 23, 2021, Ms. Caputo told Plaintiff  
13 that she could either be transferred to a “Holding Cost Center” pending her finding another  
14 position at UMC or be medically separated.

15 29. Believing that she would lose her job if she did not agree to move to the Holding  
16 Cost Center, Plaintiff agreed to the move and was placed on FMLA.

17 30. On August 31, 2021, Ms. Caputo told Plaintiff that because she submitted an  
18 accommodation request, Plaintiff would never be permitted to be a Certified Surgical  
19 Technologist at UMC “ever again.”

20 31. On or about September 21, 2021, Plaintiff apprised UMC’s Human Resources  
21 about the discrimination she was receiving and attempted to amicably resolve the dispute  
22 through her retained legal counsel.

23 32. Plaintiff’s request for reinstatement was denied.

24 33. In or around December 2021, Plaintiff was discharged from her position.

25 34. Upon information and belief, Defendant acted toward Plaintiff with an intent to  
26 discriminate against her based on her disability.

27 35. When Plaintiff complained of the on-going disability discrimination against her,  
28

1 Defendant ignored Plaintiff's complaints and instead retaliated against her by terminating her  
2 employment.

3  
4 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

5 **(Discrimination Based on Disability in violation of State and Federal Statutes)**

6 36. Plaintiff incorporates all of the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as though  
7 fully set forth herein.

8 37. Plaintiff is a member of the class of persons protected by state and federal  
9 statutes prohibiting discrimination based on disability or a combination thereof.

10 38. At all relevant times, Plaintiff had a qualifying disability: a hearing disorder,  
11 Severe Generalized Anxiety Disorder, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease ("COPD"),  
12 panic attacks complicated by a history of heat stroke, as well as Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder  
13 ("PTSD").

14 39. Defendant as an employer is subject to Nevada and federal statutes prohibiting  
15 discrimination, NRS 613.330 *et. seq.*, Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12101 *et.*  
16 *seq.*, and thus, has a legal obligation to provide Plaintiff with a work environment free from  
17 discrimination and harassment.

18 40. Defendant refused to take reasonably adequate steps to prevent discrimination  
19 against Plaintiff by knowingly taking adverse employment actions against Plaintiff because of  
20 her disability.

21 41. Defendant improperly rejected Plaintiff's reasonable request for an  
22 accommodation limiting her exposure to or avoidance of exposure to confined, heated spaces,  
23 as they are triggers for her panic attacks.

24 42. However, Defendant rejected the request outright and improperly determined  
25 that the request prevented Plaintiff from working on any "Burn" cases.

26 43. Thereafter, Plaintiff was removed from her position and told that because she  
27 submitted an accommodation request, Plaintiff would never be permitted to be a Certified  
28

1 Surgical Technologist at UMC “ever again.”

2 44. No other similarly situated persons, not of Plaintiff’s protected class were  
3 subject to the same or substantially similar conduct.

4 45. Plaintiff suffered adverse economic impact due to Defendant’s discriminatory  
5 behavior and termination.

6 46. Plaintiff was embarrassed, humiliated, angered and discouraged by the  
7 discriminatory actions taken against her.

8 47. Plaintiff suffered compensable emotional and physical harm, including but not  
9 limited to, headaches, sleeplessness, anxiety and depression resulting from this unlawful  
10 discrimination by her employer.

11 48. Plaintiff is entitled to be fully compensated for her emotional disturbance by  
12 being forced to endure this discrimination.

13 49. Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, Plaintiff is entitled to recover  
14 punitive damages for Defendant’s intentional repeated violations of federal and state civil rights  
15 laws.

16 50. Plaintiff suffered damages in an amount deemed sufficient by the jury.

17 51. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonable attorney’s fees.

18 52. Defendant is guilty of oppression, fraud or malice, express or implied as  
19 Defendant knowingly and intentionally discriminated against Plaintiff because of her disability.

20 53. Therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages for the sake of example, to  
21 deter other employers from engaging in such conduct and by way of punishing the Defendant  
22 in an amount deemed sufficient by the jury.

23 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

24 **(Retaliation under Federal Law, 42 U.S.C. §12101 *et. seq.* and State Law, NRS 613.340)**

25 54. Plaintiff incorporates all of the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as though  
26 fully set forth herein.

27 55. In violation of 42 U.S.C. §12101 *et. seq.*, Defendant retaliated against Plaintiff  
28

1 after she complained of acts which she reasonably believed were discriminatory.

2 56. In violation of NRS 613.340 Defendant retaliated against Plaintiff after she  
3 complained of acts, which she reasonably believed were discriminatory.

4 57. After Plaintiff complained to Defendant regarding the discriminatory treatment  
5 she had suffered, Defendant retaliated against Plaintiff by terminating her employment.

6 58. There may be additional detrimental acts of which Plaintiff is unaware which  
7 may also constitute retaliation in that it harmed Plaintiff.

8 59. The actions and conduct by Defendant constitute illegal retaliation which is  
9 prohibited by federal and state statutes.

10 60. Plaintiff suffered damages in an amount deemed sufficient by the jury.

11 61. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees.

12 62. Defendant is guilty of oppression, fraud, or malice, express or implied, because  
13 Defendant knowingly and intentionally retaliated against Plaintiff because she submitted a  
14 complaint of disability discrimination to Human Resources.

15 63. Therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages for the sake of example, to  
16 deter other employers from engaging in such conduct and by way of punishing the Defendant  
17 in an amount deemed sufficient by the jury.

18 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

19 **(Infliction of Emotional Distress)**

20 64. Plaintiff incorporates all of the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as though  
21 fully set forth herein.

22 65. Defendant's conduct toward Plaintiff was extreme, outrageous, and caused  
23 significant emotional harm, headaches, sleeplessness and various physical and mental distress.

24 66. Defendant's conduct was extreme, outrageous, and undertaken with either intent  
25 or reckless disregard for causing Plaintiff emotional distress.

26 67. Defendant had a duty to refrain from engaging in the hostile and retaliatory acts  
27 as described above.

28





1 i. Any other relief the court deems just and proper.

2 Dated this 27th Day of December, 2022.

3 **HKM EMPLOYMENT ATTORNEYS, LLP**

4  
5 Jenny . L Foley

6 **JENNY L. FOLEY, Ph.D., Esq.**

7 Nevada Bar No. 9017

8 101 Convention Center Dr., Suite 600

9 Las Vegas, Nevada 89109

10 Tel: (702) 805-8340

11 Fax: (702) 805-8340

12 E-mail: [jfoley@hkm.com](mailto:jfoley@hkm.com)

13 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*